

# GGLDC Governance & Nominating Committee Meeting Thursday, July 1, 2021 Location: Innovation Zone 3:00 p.m.

# **MINUTES**

**ATTENDANCE** 

Committee Members: S. Noble-Moag, C. Yunker, D. Cunningham

Staff: S. Hyde, L. Farrell, M. Masse, P. Kennett, L. Casey, J. Krencik, C. Suozzi

Guests: T. Bender (GCEDC/GGLDC Board Member), P. Battaglia (GCEDC/GGLDC Board Member)

P. Zeliff (GCEDC/GGLDC Board Member)

Absent: G. Torrey

### 1. CALL TO ORDER / ENTER PUBLIC SESSION

S. Noble-Moag called the meeting to order at 3:38 p.m. in the Innovation Zone.

## 2. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT & ACTIVITIES

- 2a. Agenda Additions / Other Business Nothing at this time.
- 2b. Minutes: June 3, 2021
- C. Yunker made a motion to approve the June 3, 2021 meeting minutes as presented; the motion was seconded by D. Cunningham. Roll call resulted as follows:

D. Cunningham - Yes
G. Torrey - Absent
C. Yunker - Yes
S. Noble-Moag - Yes

The item was approved as presented.

## 3. <u>DISCUSSIONS / OFFICIAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD</u>

- **3a.** Code of Ethics The Committee had requested that the policy language should state that the Ethics Officer "is" the Board Chair as opposed to "defaults" to the Board Chair. This change has been made. The policy states that the Agency Board Chair shall serve as the Ethics Officer. L. Farrell requested that the change is formally approved by the Committee.
- C. Yunker made a motion to recommend to the full Board the approval of the revised Code of Ethics; the motion was seconded by D. Cunningham. Roll call resulted as follows:

D. Cunningham - Yes
G. Torrey - Absent
C. Yunker - Yes

Email: gcedc@gcedc.com Web: www.gcedc.com

S. Noble-Moag - Yes

The item was approved as presented.

**3b. Commission Fees Policy –** This agenda item mirrors the discussion that occurred during the GCECC Governance and Nominating Committee meeting. The Audit and Finance Committee requested that the Governance Committee discuss the Agency's policy regarding commission fees. C. Suozzi provided input to the Committee to promote discussion.

The GCEDC/GGLDC has been utilizing real estate professionals for years to assist with business development activities.

The GCEDC staff has a healthy and productive relationship with the commercial realtors in our local region from Buffalo to Rochester and are also active members of NYSCAR and NAIOP in both regions.

Previously, the GCEDC/GGLDC had a policy to pay professionals (lawyers, accountants, real estate professionals) a commission/referral fee of 6% on any projects referred to the GCEDC that made it to closing. After discussion by the committee at that time they felt that the fixed percentage did not match the current market rate for commissions and that they would prefer to only pay real estate commissions that would be considered on a case-by-case basis. This is how we currently treat all commissions.

Generally, the GCEDC/GGLDC has paid market rate commissions to real estate professionals who lead the business development to our agency. These professionals have actively supported the GCEDC's / GGLDC's goals of increasing opportunities for our communities through expanding capital investment. These real estate professionals are essentially acting as site selectors for these smaller projects or will work with site selectors. In many instances where our sites are considered, it is only because of the relationship that the GCEDC staff has with the realtor.

One example: Project Wave 2011

CBRE brought the deal to GCEDC Sold 81 acres \$37,000 per acre 10% Commission fee paid.

The other issue is that the realtor will mention his commission percentage up front before we start to work with the company. If we negotiate that rate off market, we will run the risk of them not promoting our site to the company. The realtors do a lot of work in the background marketing our site to the company, utilizing their relationship with us to get the promotional information to pitch the GCEDC/GGLDC sites. The commission is also usually not brought forward for Board approval until the deal with the company is ready to go. It would make it difficult to try and change the commission rate at the time the deal is being brought forward.

It is recommended by staff to work with our real estate professionals and continue to discuss commission plans on a case-by-case basis.

The Committee agreed that commissions to real estate professionals will continue to be brought forward on a case-by-case basis.

#### 4. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business, D. Cunningham made a motion to adjourn at 3:39 p.m., seconded by C. Yunker, and passed unanimously.

Email: gcedc@gcedc.com Web: www.gcedc.com